

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
AFFEICATION NO.	TIEBIO DATE	THE TVALUED INVENTOR	ATTORCET BOOKET NO.	CONTEGRATION NO.	
09/852,717	05/11/2001	Byoung-Sun Na	06192.0226.AA 5193		
			EVAN	INIED	
7:	590 09/13/2006		EXAMINER		
MCGUIRE W	OODS LLP	ERDEM, FAZLI			
1750 TYSONS	BOULEVARD				
SUITE 1800		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, VA	22102		2826		
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/852,7	717	NA ET AL.				
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Fazli Erd	lem	2826				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on th	ne cover sheet v	with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IN THE	ALING DATE OF T f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nication. utory period will apply and rill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUN vent, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO oplication to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
_	Responsive to communication(s) filed	Lon 10 June 2006						
•	•		non-final					
3)□	<i>,</i> —							
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims	· · · · · - •		,				
_		ndication						
	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	equirement.					
·			44					
	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:							
	Applicant may not request that any object							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	lote the attach	ed Office Action or form F	PTO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d			§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies o				l Stage			
	application from the Internation	•		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	9			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	•	, , ,	ot received.				
			·					
Attachmen	t(e)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		5) Notice of 6) Other: _	Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:								

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-6 and 11-31, drawn to semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 59.
 - II. Claims 7-10 and 32-39, drawn to method of making semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 28.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case in claim 34, black matrix and the protrusion could be formed in two separate photolithography step.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,717

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patental NER Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FE September 4, 2006